STATE OF INDIANA)	IN THE LAKE (IN THE LAKE CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURT'S OFFICE		
COUNTY OF LAKE)	SS: CAUSE NO	45C010403PL00068 PM 3 50		
STATE OF INDIANA,)	THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE		
Plaintiff,		(
v.		{			
BRIAN SCOTT WALLAC	E,	{			
Defendant.		ź			

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, RESTITUTION, COSTS, AND CIVIL PENALTIES

The Plaintiff, State of Indiana, by Attorney General Steve Carter and Deputy

Attorney General Terry Tolliver, petitions the Court pursuant to the Indiana Deceptive

Consumer Sales Act, Indiana Code § 24-5-0.5-1, et seq., and the Indiana Home

Improvement Contracts Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-11-1, et seq., for injunctive relief,

consumer restitution, investigative costs, civil penalties, and other relief.

PARTIES

- The Plaintiff, State of Indiana, is authorized to bring this action and to seek injunctive and other statutory relief pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(c) and Ind. Code § 24-5-11-14.
- The Defendant, Brian Scott Wallace, is an individual engaged in the home improvement business, with a principal place of business located at 3421 Ridge Road,
 Highland, IN 46322, and transacts business with Indiana consumers.

FACTS

 Since at least April 19, 2003, the Defendant has entered into home improvement contracts with Indiana consumers.

A. Allegation Regarding Margaret Boyan

- 4. On or about April 19, 2003, the Defendant entered into a contract with Margaret Boyan ("Boyan") of Hammond, Indiana, wherein the Defendant represented that he would install a new entry door for Boyan's garage, install a chain link fence, paint an awning, install a new picnic table seat board, and remove a stump at a price of Six Hundred and Forty Dollars (\$640.00). A true and accurate copy of the Defendant's contract with Boyan is attached and incorporated as Exhibit "A."
- Boyan paid the Defendant Three Hundred and Twenty Dollars (\$320.00)
 at contract formation, based on the Defendant's representations that the money was
 necessary for the purchase of materials to perform the contracted work.
- Shortly after the Defendant began work, Boyan paid the Defendant an additional One-Hundred Dollars (\$100.00), so that the Defendant could pay an employee.
- 7. The Defendant failed to provide Boyan with a written home improvement contract that contained:
 - a. any time limitations on the consumer's acceptance of the home improvement contract;
 - the approximate starting and completion dates of the home improvements; and

- c. signature lines for the home improvement supplier or the supplier's agent and for each consumer who is to be a party to the home improvement contract with a legible printed or typed version of that person's name placed directly after or below the signature.
- The Defendant failed to obtain the necessary license and/or permit(s) for the work under the home improvement contract, as required by the Hammond Building Department.
- Pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a)(10), the Defendant is presumed to have represented to Boyan at the time the contract was formed that the work would be completed within a reasonable period of time.
- Although the Defendant began the work, the Defendant has yet to
 complete the work under the home improvement contract, or to issue a refund to Boyan.

B. Allegations Regarding Sarah Boyajian

- 11. On or around April 23, 2003, the Defendant entered into a contract with Sarah Boyajian ("Boyajian") of Hammond, Indiana, wherein the Defendant represented that he would install a concrete driveway at a price of Five-Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$550.00). A true and accurate copy of the Defendant's contract with Boyajian is attached and incorporated by reference as Exhibit "B."
- 12. Boyan paid the Defendant Three Hundred Dollars (\$300.00) at contract formation, based on the Defendant's representations that the money was necessary for the purchase of materials to perform the contracted work.

- 13. The Defendant failed to provide the consumer with a written home improvement contract that contained:
 - any time limitation on the consumer's acceptance of the home improvement contract; and
 - b. signature lines for the home improvement supplier or the supplier's agent and for each consumer who is to be a party to the home improvement contract with a legible printed or typed version of that person's name placed directly after or below the signature.
- 14. The Defendant failed to obtain the necessary license and/or permit(s) for the work under the home improvement contract, as required by the Hammond Building Department.
- 15. Pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a)(10), the Defendant is presumed to have represented to Boyajian at the time the contract was formed that the work would be completed within a reasonable period of time.
- 16. The Defendant has yet to start and; therefore, has not completed any work under the home improvement contract, nor has the Defendant provided a refund to Boyajian.

C. Allegations Regarding Beverly Elliott

17. On or around June 5, 2003, the Defendant entered into a contract with Beverly Elliott ("Elliott") of Griffith, Indiana wherein the Defendant represented that he would install a deck with handrails and gutter guards at a price of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2000.00). A true and accurate copy of the Defendant's contract with Elliott is attached and incorporated by reference as Exhibit "C."

18. Elliot paid the Defendant One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) at contract formation, based on the Defendant's representations that the money was necessary for the purchase of materials to perform the contracted work.

- 19. On or about June 11, 2003, Elliot paid the Defendant Five Hundred and Twenty-Five Dollars (\$525.00), based on the Defendant's representations that the additional money was necessary for the purchase of materials to perform the contracted work.
- 20. The Defendant failed to provide the consumer with a written home improvement contract that contained:
 - a. any time limitations on the consumer's acceptance of the home improvement contract;
 - the approximate starting and completion dates of the home improvements; and
 - c. signature lines for the home improvement supplier or the supplier's agent and for each consumer who is to be a party to the home improvement contract with a legible printed or typed version of that person's name placed directly after or below the signature.
- The Defendant failed to obtain the necessary license and/or permit(s) for the work under the home improvement contract, as required by the Griffith Building Commissioner.
- 22. Pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a)(10), the Defendant is presumed to have represented to Elliott at the time the contract was formed that the work would be completed within a reasonable period of time.

23. The Defendant has yet to start and; therefore, has not completed any work under the home improvement contract, nor has the Defendant provided a refund to Elliott.

D. Allegations regarding Linda Pearson

- 24. On or around June 9, 2003, the Defendant entered into a contract with Linda Pearson ("Pearson") of Griffith, Indiana wherein the Defendant represented that he would install a deck with handrails and gutter guards at a price of One Thousand Four Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$1,450.00). A true and accurate copy of the Defendant's contract with Pearson is attached and incorporated by reference as Exhibit "D."
- 25. Pearson paid the Defendant Seven Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$750.00) at contract formation, based on the Defendant's representations that the money was necessary for the purchase of materials to perform the contracted work.
- 26. The Defendant failed to provide the consumer with a written home improvement contract that contained:
 - any time limitations on the consumer's acceptance of the home improvement contract;
 - the approximate starting and completion dates of the home improvements; and
 - c. signature lines for the home improvement supplier or the supplier's agent and for each consumer who is to be a party to the home improvement contract with a legible printed or typed version of that person's name placed directly after or below the signature.

- The Defendant failed to obtain the necessary license and/or permit(s) for the work under the home improvement contract, as required by the Griffith Building Commissioner.
- 28. Pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a)(10), the Defendant is presumed to have represented to Elliott at the time the contract was formed that the work would be completed within a reasonable period of time.
- 29. The Defendant has yet to start and; therefore, has not completed any work under the home improvement contract, nor has the Defendant provided a refund to Pearson.

COUNT 1 - VIOLATIONS OF THE HOME IMPROVEMENT CONTRACTS ACT

- The services described in paragraphs 4, 11, 17 and 24 are "home improvements" as defined by Ind. Code § 24-5-11-3.
- The transactions referred to in paragraphs 4, 11, 17 and 24 are "home improvement contracts" as defined by Ind. Code § 24-5-11-4.
 - The Defendant is a "supplier" as defined by Ind. Code § 24-5-11-6.
- 33. By failing to provide the consumers with a completed home improvement contract, containing the information referred to in paragraphs 7, 13, 20 and 26 the Defendant violated the Home Improvement Contracts Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-11-10.
- 34. The Defendant's violations of the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act referred to in paragraphs 7, 13, 20 and 26, constitute a deceptive act and subjects Defendant to the remedies and penalties under Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-1, et seq.

 By failing to obtain the necessary license and/or permits prior to commencing any work, the Defendant violated the Home Improvement Contracts Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-11-9.

COUNT II - VIOLATIONS OF THE DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT

- The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 35 above.
- The transactions referred to in paragraphs 4, 11, 17, and 24 are "consumer transactions" as defined by Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(1).
 - The Defendant is a "supplier" as defined by Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-2(a)(3).
- The violations of the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act referred to in paragraph 33 constitute deceptive acts in accordance with Ind. Code § 24-5-11-14.
- 40. The Defendant's representations to Boyan, Boyajian, Elliott and Pearson that the work would be performed, or that consumers would otherwise receive the represented benefits, as referred to in paragraph 5, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, 24, and 25, when the Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that no such benefit would be received or work would be performed, are violations of the Indiana Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a)(1).
- 41. The Defendant's representation to the Boyan, Boyajian, Elliott and Pearson that he would provide home improvement services on their homes within a reasonable period of time, when he knew or reasonably should have known that he would not, as referred to in paragraphs 9, 15, 22, and 28, are violations of Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a)(10).

42. By failing to obtain the necessary license and/or permits prior to commencing any work, as referred to in paragraphs 8, 14, 21, and 27, the Defendant violated Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-10 (a)(1).

COUNT III - KNOWING AND INTENTIONAL VIOLATIONS OF THE DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT

- The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 42 above.
- 44. The misrepresentations and deceptive acts set forth in paragraphs 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 19, 21, 24, 25, 27, and 28 were committed by the Defendant with knowledge and intent to deceive.

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, State of Indiana, requests the Court enter judgment against the Defendant, Brian Scott Wallace, enjoining the Defendant from the following:

- a. in the course of entering into home improvement transactions, failing to provide to the consumer a written, completed home improvement contract, which includes at a minimum the following:
 - The name of the consumer and the address of the residential property that is the subject of the home improvement;
 - (2) The name and address of the home improvement supplier and each of the telephone numbers and names of any agent to whom consumer problems and inquiries can be directed;
 - (3) The date the home improvement contract was submitted to the consumer and any time limitation on the consumer's acceptance of the home improvement contract;

 (4) A reasonably detailed description of the proposed home improvements;

- (5) If the description required by Ind. Code §24-5-11-10(a)(4) does not include the specifications for the home improvement, a statement that the specifications will be provided to the consumer before commencing any work and that the home improvement contract is subject to the consumer's separate written and dated approval of the specifications;
- (6) The approximate starting and completion date of the home improvements;
- (7) A statement of any contingencies that would materially change the approximate completion date;
- (8) The home improvement contract price; and
- (9) Signature lines for the home improvement supplier or the supplier's agent and for each consumer who is to be a party to the home improvement contract with a legible printed or typed version of that person's name placed directly after or below the signature;
- in the course of entering into home improvement transactions, failing to agree unequivocally by written signature to all of the terms of a home improvement contract before the consumer signs the home improvement contract and before the consumer can be required to make any down payment;

- in the course of entering into home improvement transactions, failing to
 provide a completed home improvement contract to the consumer before it
 is signed by the consumer;
- d. representing, expressly or by implication, that the subject of a consumer transaction has sponsorship, approval, performance, characteristics, accessories, uses, or benefits it does not have, which the Defendant knows or should reasonably know it does not have;
- e. representing, expressly or by implication, that the Defendant is able to start or complete a home improvement within a stated period of time, or when no time period is stated, within a reasonable time, when the Defendant knows or should reasonably know he cannot; and
- f. in the course of entering into home improvement transactions, failing to obtain the necessary license and permits, as required by law.

AND WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, State of Indiana, further requests the Court enter judgment against the Defendant, Brian Scott Wallace, for the following relief:

- a. cancellation of the Defendant's contracts with consumers, including but not limited to Boyan, Boyajian, Elliott and Pearson, pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(d);
- consumer restitution in an amount to be determined at trial, for money unlawfully received from consumers, including but not limited to Boyan, Boyajian,
 Elliott and Pearson, pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(c)(2);

c. costs pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(c)(3), awarding the Office of the
 Attorney General its reasonable expenses incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this action;

d. On Count III of the Plaintiff's Complaint, civil penalties pursuant to Ind.
Code § 24-5-0.5-4(g) for the Defendant's knowing violations of the Deceptive Consumer
Sales Act, in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) per violation, payable to the
State of Indiana;

e. On Count III of the Plaintiff's Complaint, civil penalties pursuant to Ind.
Code § 24-5-0.5-8 for the Defendant's intentional violations of the Deceptive Consumer
Sales Act, in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) per violation, payable to the
State of Indiana; and

f. All other just and proper relief.

Respectfully submitted,

STEVE CARTER Indiana Attorney General Atty. No. 4150-64

By:

Terry Tolliver

Deputy Attorney General Atty. No. 22556-49

Office of Attorney General Indiana Government Center South 302 W. Washington, 5th Floor Indianapolis, IN 46204 Telephone: (317) 233-3300

MAY-12- Jane 20- JY1-03 BREAM SCOT WALLACE Twice A DAY PROPOSAL NO SHIZET NO WORK TO BE PERFORMED AT: PROPOSAL SUBMITTED TO: ADDRESS MARILE CITY, STATE ADDRESS CITY,STATE DATE OF PLANS PHONE NO ... ARCHITECT We hereby propose to lumish the materials and perform the tubor necessary for the completion of All material is guaranteed to be as specified, and the above work to be performed in accordance with the drawings and specifications submitted for above work and completed in a substantial workmanlike manner for the sum of 300 upon completion Note - This proposal may be withdrawn by us if not discepted within. ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSAL work as specified. Payments will The above prices, appointesions and conditions are satisfactory and ere hereby accepted. You are sulpprized to its be made as outlined above SIGHATURE

BASO WHITE



BROWN SCOTT WALLACE		.
34x1 Ridge Rd.	લેટ	<u>Proposal</u>
Hidday Zun col	W 1	PROPOBAL MQ.
		SHERT NO.
ROPOSAL SUBMITTED TO: $(6.29-39)$	1/6/6-57/5 BE PERF	FORMED AT: CATE 6/5/03
AME Revolut Elliot	ALIOPESS .	
DOMESS	CITY, STATE	2010
HARDAIE .	DATE OF PLANS	7/12-
HONE NO.	ARCHTEGT	A Company of the Comp
set ensured but elements set riginal of second parties of	labor excessiony for the correlation of	
We propose to Dustall	Now White	V:up Derk
To Flore Porch, Cont	For Entry D	FLOM GAPAGE 76
Eno up Poral W. Dropose	To Pustail W	AT LA) C
Agama Lite Dek In	MATCH FLOW FOR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Textall No. Catter Case	N 3 11 4 3 6 5 7	that will
TWO DO AND AND AND		
The Fare Williams	900-0-11-4-0-4	LAWARET 11 AM 14 HALESTY
MANYACINE WANTANT	155 To be C	AVE TO CHUPA
All mulerial is guaranteed to be as specified, a specifications submitted for above work and co	and the above work to be performantly working	rmed in accordance with the drawings and nike manner for the sum of:
with payments to be as follows 1001 Down	White 25 CHAIN	5.4 m
4 75 to 1000 1	Surletza Ri g-	H-Will
केन्द्र स्थापनाका १५ केन्द्र विकास के १० स्थापना क्षेत्रीय स्थितको प्रत्येकार्ता व्यक्ति देखात है. विकास १६ प्रत्येकार्त्व के प्रत्येक प्रवास कार्यात कार्यात स्थापना वार्वेक व्यक्ति कार्यात स्थापना कार्यात कार्यात कार्यात स्थापना स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन स्यापन स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन स्थापन स्था	Possessivily submitted . U.M. U.S.	Surr WAllate.
	a vem landgens skilt - arms	in whiteleaws by us it not accepted with the company
ACC	EPTANCE OF PROPOSAL	
The above prices, specifications and conditions are satisfactive made as outlined above.		and the work as specified. Payments will
DATE	STATES	U V
N. 140	EXMBIT	

DEVEROR DELIGEN P. 612

SWINCEWEON THUCKING

BREAD SCOT, JAMACE	PROPOSAL
3421 Ridge Rd	MADPOSAL NO.
High land Inp. 46322	SHE ET MI
(838-1488) (629-3973)	CANADA S OF S SAME AND A SAME AND
PROPOSAL SUBMITTED TO:	WORK TO BE PERFORMED AT
Mrs. D. 44502	ACOFE SS
ADI PESA	CITY, STATE DAME
CITY, STATE	TRATE OF PLANE
PHONE NO.	ARCHITECT
Wer herab) peoples to lumich the monetals and parkets the labor nacestacy	or the completion of
We propose to limite un	S Marin Tub Artin
We Will Then Thiston Her	Some Rapped Dryscoffe
Corme shelves AND Stelves	Over (H END Surrouved Worth
	Pipe To BE MOUTED IN VAN
t i i i	FORSEX FLOW Showed Acon
We Will Reave I Erart To	ndewie BND Peploca
With Write Visual I Lite ST	A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF
AT WOUND THE TAKE THE	Later Lighter
All material is guaranteed to be an apecified, and the above specifications submitted for above work and completed in a	e work to be performed in accordance with the drawings and substantial workmanilko manner for the sum of. Dollars (\$1150
with payments to be as follows 750 Down chapy	1/ 160
Too upon Confleto	- ~. 1 12 11 11.
they stages for increasing first in each production or analysis costs class will be marked only upon regions states upon and increase to make always south and distance the schools. All assembles are independent on the schools, and banks for statistic disposal for worked.	" GROAN SITE WATER
	es. This proposal may be withdrawn by us it not accepted within
ACCEPTANCE O	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The allows prices, specificalisms and conditions are salidiscibly and we have be made as cultimat above.	thy acceptors. You are authorized to do the work appropriated. Paymeres will be consequent with the second
DUTE 12003	SIGNATURE SALVEN
N.50	

STATE'S EXCHIBIT

1028 916 61Z

SMI XOURT NO SMIRINA